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ABSTRACT
Now a days there are so many web vulnerability scanners (EWVS) are available for discovering or identified various vulnerabilities in the web based applications. As per the researches and discovery those tools are not 100% accurate. In this paper it develops an enhanced hybrid algorithm for analyzing or detecting web based applications vulnerabilities also check its performance according to application and compare with other open sources that already exist Web Vulnerabilities Scanner (WVS). This research paper is based on various calculation matrices like time taken to scan, Consistency, detection accuracy etc. also describe the comparison bases on that.
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1. INTRODUCTION
In today’s world the numbers of web applications are going to increase day by day[1] so that many organizations such as government sector as well as private firms have adopted these technologies to explore new business opportunities as well as adopt the e-business by their customers or contestant.

Now days the web applications have gained popularity and have become an essential part of our daily lives interaction. So many new web application vulnerabilities are attacks and used of unauthorized activity in the form of web-based applications. Therefore, the manual code inspection or high security audits must be done by highly trained experts who are labour-
intensive, expensive, and prone to errors. For this reason, there is need to detect automate vulnerability.

There are various vulnerabilities exist in web applications in the time of implementation of several applications or so times there may failure occurs while test these applications for loopholes or ambiguity. Thus the results during deployment of vulnerable web application that can be hacked by unauthorized user and accessing confidential information.

1.1. Problem Statement
As per the growth of web technologies all the components are shifted from traditional desktop application to web-based solutions, the popularity of web-based applications has grown wonderfully. Now a days, all the web-based applications are used in security purpose such as medical or health care, E-commerce, financial and military systems. Although the internet infrastructure is developed by experienced programmers with security concerns in their mind, some of the web applications are engineered by less experienced consulting programmers with little or no knowledge about security. This exposes the web application to various vulnerabilities and provides avenues for cyber criminals to gain unauthorized access to confidential information.

In one of the recent studies by the Ponemon Institute, they found out that that 45% of breaches exceed $500,000 in losses. In the largest of incidents, many Fortune-listed companies have given shareholder guidance that the losses would vary from a few dollars to millions of dollars. For this reason, it is prudent to do something in a proactive manner to avert or reduce harm before a cyber-attack.

Past studies have concentrated in benchmarking open source web vulnerability scanners to find out their capabilities and limitations. There is need to analyze different algorithms, identify their strength and weaknesses, with an aim of coming up with a hybrid algorithm that is superior, performs faster and can work on more inputs and in a complex situation. In this proposal, the researcher seeks to benchmarks different web vulnerability scanners, identify these tools and suggest an improved algorithm that can be adopted while developing tools.
2. LITERATURE REVIEW

According to\textsuperscript{3} many organizations rely upon customized web applications to implement business processes. These may include full-blown applications, or consist of modules such as online, login pages shopping carts, and other kinds of dynamic content. Some of these software applications in your network could be developed in-house.

In their study,\textsuperscript{4} noted that web application security vulnerabilities are on the increase. These vulnerabilities allow attackers to perform undesirable actions that range from gaining unauthorized account access, to obtaining confidential data such as credit card numbers and in some extreme cases, they threaten to reveal the identities of intelligence personnel.

\textbf{Table 1: Web application Vulnerabilities.}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Web application Vulnerabilities</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-Remote file inclusion</td>
<td>-Command Injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Local file inclusion,</td>
<td>-Blind SQL Injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Cross site crossing,</td>
<td>-SQL Injection,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Session management,</td>
<td>-LDAP Injection,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-server side injection</td>
<td>-Buffer overflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-cross site reference forgery</td>
<td>-X-path Injection HTTP Splitting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1. Research Design

A hybrid algorithm was designed based on the logic expressed in the diagram below. The major milestone of this algorithm is to increase the detection accuracy.

The hybrid algorithm is derived from existing algorithms with a goal of increasing the vulnerability scanning accuracy and the time it takes to scan any given web application. Although the accuracy may not be achieved 100% emphasis, an effort has been put to raise it above the existing tools. The results of the tests will be benchmarked with OWASP results which are updated on a regular basis.

The hybrid algorithm is based on the concept of carefully, combining desirable features of various components so that the new algorithm has the ability to discover more vulnerability.
However the combination is not done blindly, it is based on various factors such as optimization and sophistication among others with an aim of increasing efficiency.

![Diagram of Hybrid Algorithm methodology](image)

**Figure 1: Hybrid Algorithm methodology.**

The enhanced hybrid algorithm is extended into five phases as shown in the above figure. Inspection or crawling this phase focuses on looking for information about the web application. The more the details found on this stage, the more successful the entire scanning process will be. Once the first phase is completed, the Scanning process begins, which involves, recognizing the weaknesses that exist in the web application. Once the vulnerabilities are discovered they are analyzed in the next phase and then a report is displayed at the end of the entire process.

### 3.2. Implementation Design

A program to test and validate the hybrid algorithm is built, based on the flowchart below. This simulation will be useful in testing and validating the hybrid algorithm. The user will input the URL (uniform resource locator) of the web application to be scanned and click on the scan button.

The scanning process involves crawling and parsing and the discovery of the vulnerabilities, this process is repeated until all the vulnerabilities have been discovered. Once this process is completed, the analysis is done and finally a report is displayed showing the discovered vulnerabilities discovered and their location.

The scanning process includes, crawling and fuzzing. After the scanning process is completed, the results are submitted for analysis and a report is displayed.
Input: The URL of the web application to be tested. This is provided by the user who initiates the web scanning process.

Processing: This involves crawling all the web pages, fuzzing, and identification of any weakness and firing inputs to check for any vulnerability.

Output: The results of processing are analyzed and presented in a report format.

3.3. SQL Injections Discovery

The scanning method described in the algorithm below is used as a method two for checking SQL. Check Vulnerability (V) which looks for any special characters, and Boolean characters and keywords in the input fields of a web based application. It has a compilation of all the special characters such as \( \leq \{ (',\&+=<>|=) \} \) and a comprehensive collection of major keywords such as update, select, intersect, union insert, delete, drop, truncate and Boolean characters such as , 'AND' 'or', '.

Using the actual inputs like a user interacting with a web browser, the values are tested against the database. If a mismatch is found in the results are submitted to the vulnerability information collector and then reset the Http request. The algorithm below detects SQLIs in an effective manner. Which can be applied for any real web-based applications wherever the user and the database interacts.
3.4. SQL Algorithm

1. Initialize sql characters in an array.
2. Create two maps or lists to store the sql error messages.
   a. One for storing specific database error messages like oracle, mysql, microsoft sql error messages etc.
   b. Other for storing generic database error messages.
3. Initialize error values in to the maps/list mentioned above.
4. Initialize the scanner method – the scanner accepts the http message as input from the the crawler - http message has details on each request or url with the parameter list.
5. For each parameter in the http message.
   a. Input sql characters from the sql characters array.
   b. Verify the response to check for any matches on error messages from the two maps or lists.
   c. If a match occurs -Flag as sql vulnerability.
   d. Else - Repeat step 5 until the end of parameter list is reached.
6. End.

Figure-3.
Cross Site Scripting Algorithm
1. For each url in the list of visited urls
   a. Identify all parameters
   b. Push parameters in to parameter list
   c. For each parameter in the parameter queue
      i. Supply a script or a XSS test case as input to the parameter and pass the request
      ii. Verify the response to identify the supplied script or test case reflected back
2. Report the vulnerability if the response has a script

Flowchart

Figure-4.
3.5. Data Collection and Analysis
Data collection was done by using experiments. The data collected was further analysed into tables, graphs and pie charts.

3.6. Experimental Design
The experiments were performed by running seven opens source web application scanners on four web applications with known vulnerabilities. These web applications were installed on virtual machines which have similar configurations and resources.

3.7. Resources Required for the Experiment
i. Computer configured with four virtual machines
ii. Operating system: windows 8.1 professional edition
iii. Hypervisor such as VMware
iv. Web servers such as apache, tom cat and Xamp. These web applications were installed on virtual machines which had similar configurations and resources.

The virtual machines specifications are processor, 2.6 GHZ Core i5, 2 GB RAM, 100 GB HDD and running on windows 8.1 professional edition. In an effort to make sure that we have a similar test environment, similar configurations were used on the virtual machines regardless of the test conducted. Each scanning tool was run against identical yet distinct environment. This was critical to ensure that we obtain actual results without deviation due to different resources.

Table 2: Vulnerability scanners and web applications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Open Source Web scanning Tools</th>
<th>Web applications with known vulnerabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Wapiti</td>
<td>• OWASP WebGoat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Websecurify</td>
<td>• Mutilildae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Arachni</td>
<td>• zero.wesapplication.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• W3af</td>
<td>• phpBB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Zed Attack Proxy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Vega</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ck AppScan* – A tool that was developed by the researcher to test and validate the hybrid algorithm.

3.8. Testing Procedure
In this research, all the selected web scanning tools were run on the aforementioned web applications and the results recorded. Below find the testing procedure.
i. Launch the web scanning tool
ii. Enter the url of the web application to be tested
iii. Click on the scan button and wait for the scanning process to be completed
iv. If the scan is carried out successfully, a report will is displayed with the results.
   During the scanning process web vulnerabilities discussed in section 2.3 are scanned and
   if found they will be displayed in the report.

This process was repeated for all the tools used in this research project.

Testing the Algorithm
The algorithm was be tested by translating it in to a simulation developed using java
platform. The simulation was be run against the four web applications and the results
collected about detection accuracy, the time taken to scan a given application as well as
reliability and consistency. After the testing process the results of the simulation were
compared with the other opens source web scanners.

![Applications Vs. Vulnerabilities](image_url)

**Figure 5: Web Scanning Applications Vs. Vulnerabilities.**

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
4.1. Results
Ck AppScan generated better results overall when compared to other tools used, although
the tool has higher detection accuracy when compared to the other tool. The only drawback
is that it was reported to take a longer time to scan than most of the web scanners that were
used in this study. Its performance is not 100% accurate but it has a higher capacity to detect
more vulnerabilities when compared to the other tools.
4.2. Discussion
A comparative study of web vulnerability scanners has also been performed by other researchers from different parts of the world. Although the tools and web applications used are not similar, the vulnerabilities are the same.

In a study conducted by Doupe et al (2010) they used Acunetix WVS, burp scanner, IBM’s rational app scan, hailstorm, N-stalker, mileScan, Grendel-scan, NTO spider, W3AF, and HP web inspect against a web application known as wackPicko. They tested vulnerabilities such as XSS, SQL injection, file inclusion, file exposure and command line injection. The conclusion of the study was similar to those drawn by this study. They found out that crawling modern web based applications is indeed a serious challenge for many WVSs. There should be improved and more sophisticated algorithms needed to perform deep crawling. During the development of the hybrid algorithm the researcher was able to develop an algorithm that was able to detect the aforementioned vulnerabilities. This was achieved by employing a sophisticated method of discovering the weaknesses.

In a study conducted by Fonseca et al (2014) shows that many open source WVS have a low ability to detect vulnerability. This is in line with the results analysed after the end of this study. The researcher has developed a more sophisticated algorithm that address this concern and increased the number of vulnerabilities detected.

Khoury (2011) analysed three state-of-art black box WVSs against stored SQLI, and their results showed that stored (persistent) SQLI are not detected. The researcher was able to detect persistent SQL injections by fuzzing web applications using complex discovery algorithms.

Shelly (2010) performed a similar study by using several penetration tools to analyse the performance of several WVS. She used a mix of commercial and open source tools such as wapiti, Grendel-scan, Acunetix WVS, N-stalker, W3AF, and hailstorm. These tools were run against a modified version of BuggyBank web based application. They tools were tested for SQLI, XSS, buffer overflow and session management. The conclusion of this study was that the testing of WVS using secure and non-secure applications is indeed a suitable method to discover web vulnerabilities. In addition, she reported that for the discovery of non-traditional instances of XSS, SQLI, buffer overflow, malicious file execution and session management flows, more research needs to be done to improve the detection
mechanisms used by these tools. The researcher addressed this issue by use of advanced heuristics and permutations during the detection process.

The hybrid algorithm was able to address concerns raised by previous researchers in different studies. This was achieved by adoption of more than one method during the vulnerability discovery process as well as improvement of the existing vulnerability detection methods. For instance it was noted that most of the WVS use either GET or POST method to detect weaknesses. The use of the two methods requires more scanning time nevertheless, more accurate results are realised.

5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

Execution of its detection mechanism against web application vulnerabilities. Testing of web applications for weaknesses is a significant step in safeguarding web applications. The proposed hybrid algorithm reports more vulnerabilities and presents a proficient manner while reporting discovered vulnerabilities. However since the proposed hybrid algorithm did not scan 100% of the existing vulnerabilities. There is need to increase the algorithm crawling component in order to ensure that it executed “deep” crawling. In addition the results presented shows that the proposed algorithm needs to be optimised to do the scanning in a short period of time. More research is needed to come up with a sophisticated algorithm that has the capacity to detect more vulnerabilities.

5.2. Recommendations for future work i. Improved analysis and reporting: The hybrid algorithm needs an improved analysis and reporting structure. The tools need to analyze the application in a more efficient manner and deliver the desired results. ii. Improved crawling capabilities The hybrid algorithm requires a more sophisticated crawling mechanism, it should be engineered further to ensure that all the contents of a web application are scanned without omitting anything. iii. Improved fuzzing component There is a need to use a more advanced logic in the fuzzing component of the algorithm to get getter results. The fuzzing component is responsible for firing the necessary inputs to determine whether vulnerabilities exist or not. The fuzzing logic used by Ck AppScan should be improved further to increase the detection accuracy. iv. Reduced scanning time Some of the tools used in this study took a very long period of time to scan a web application. For this reason, there is a need for tools that can scan web applications within a short time and provide accurate results. It is important to improve the overall scanning mechanisms of the algorithms.
REFERENCES


27. Snyder, B. 5 huge cyber security breaches at companies you know, 2014. Available from: http://fortune.com/2014/10/03/5-huge-cybersecurity-breaches-at-big-companies/.
   [Accessed 08 July 2015.].
   [Accessed 09 July 2015.].